

About the *Homo sapiens ethicus* (aka *Homo noeticus*)

The Bible says that the meek shall inherit the earth (Psalms 25:12-13; Matthew 5:5). Few realise, however, that the meek mentioned in the Bible are actually members of a New Race, members of a new subspecies of the genus Homo.

By Hon. Most Rev. Dr. Cesidio Tallini

Among North American natives, stylised medicine wheels are typically depicted with four colours, which in turn are associated with both direction and race:

- North — White
- South — Red
- East — Yellow
- West — Black

Johann Friedrich Blumenbach (1752–1840), on the other hand, came up with a five-colour racial typology for humans:

1. White people (the Caucasian or white race);
2. Black people (the Ethiopian or black race);
3. Yellow people (the Mongolian or yellow race);
4. Cinnamon-brown or Flame-coloured people (the American race or red race);
5. Brown people (the Malay or brown race).

Blumenbach's 19th-century classification roughly corresponds with the five different groups of people Noah A. Rosenberg and Jonathan K. Pritchard discovered, whose ancestors were typically isolated by oceans, deserts or mountains:

1. Europeans and Asians west of the Himalayas;
2. Sub-Saharan Africans;
3. East Asians;
4. Native Americans; and
5. Inhabitants of New Guinea and Melanesia.

Today, because of the developing Fifth World paradigm, one can speak of a fifth-sixth race as well, the *Indigo race*, which is:

1. A *global*, not a continental race;
2. A *phenotype*, not a genotype;
3. Not just a new *race*, but also a genuine new human *subspecies*.

The Neanderthal, or *Homo sapiens neandertalensis*, arose about 150,000 years ago in Ice Age Europe. Neanderthals and Cro-Magnons, or *Homo sapiens sapiens* (the latter also known as

anatomically modern humans), coexisted with each other for thousands of years, and Neanderthals died out perhaps 28,000 years ago.

Archaeologists today would have us believe that the dividing line between Neanderthals and Cro-Magnons is the physical difference between the robust Neanderthal and the slender Cro-Magnon; or the pronounced browridge of the Neanderthal, and the flat forehead of the Cro-Magnon. Yet the latest genetics research shows evidence that Neanderthals and Cro-Magnons interbred with one another.

Even before this scientific evidence, many people held the belief that the Neanderthals and Cro-Magnons interbred with each other, and the intermingling of the Cro-Magnon gene pool, and that of the 'pre-human' Neanderthal, was seen as the origin of the primal or brutish behaviour we often see in man today. Somehow, it was the species/subspecies that died out, that was forced into extinction (the Neanderthal), that is the origin of human sin, not the species/subspecies that still survives (the Cro-Magnon), and that has caused an almost similar extinction to Native Americans living in the Americas. Somehow it was Adam and Eve that sinned, not Cain their son!

Many people today believe that white supremacist groups imitate their Neanderthal ancestors with their behaviour. Logic, however, would suggest that if there are criminal tendencies in white hominids today, then those tendencies are the ones that genetically won out in Darwinian terms, and thus originate in the 'advanced' Cro-Magnons, not in the 'brutish' Neanderthals! In other words, white people today should thank the Neanderthals, not the Cro-Magnons, for all these traits:

1. The empathy at least some of us feel towards other human beings;
2. The blue eyes of some of us;
3. The physical strength and endurance of some of us;
4. The respect for the natural world of some of us;
5. The red hair of some of us;
6. The Rh negative blood of some of us, which also seems associated with high intelligence, and is evidence that we are hybrids of two similar, but genetically different subspecies;
7. And last, but not least, our very white skin!

These are the more obvious traits we probably inherited from Neanderthals, and most of these traits are either positive or neutral. Yet this is not what most people believe, even scientists, and their different beliefs simply don't add up!

Therefore, the real dividing line between Neanderthals and Cro-Magnons must be *cultural*, not physical. The famous French mathematician René Descartes (1596–1650) summed it up best when he said, *Cogito ergo sum*, or "I think, therefore I am".

The great leap from *Homo sapiens neandertalensis* to *Homo sapiens sapiens* actually occurred more recently in time, and is actually recorded in historic, not prehistoric times. Diogenes of Sinope (412–323 BC) is credited with the first known use of the word 'cosmopolitan'. When he was asked where he came from, he replied, "I am a citizen of the world". This was an extremely

original concept at the time, because the broadest basis of social identity in Greece was either the city-state to which the individual Greek belonged, or the Greeks themselves as a group.

The term ‘cosmopolitan’ derives from the Greek *κόσμος* (cosmos) meaning ‘world’, plus the Greek *πόλις* (polis) meaning ‘city’, ‘people’, or ‘citizenry’. Many people think that ‘cosmopolitan’ means ‘world city’. It is for this reason that cities like New York or London are called ‘cosmopolitan’ cities (or worldly and sophisticated). Yet Diogenes used the Greek word *κοσμοπολίτης* (kosmopolítis), which means ‘citizen of the world’, not ‘world city’.

Cosmopolitanism is the idea that all kinds of human beings belong to a single community based on a shared morality. This is contrasted with communitarian and particularistic theories, especially the ideas of patriotism and nationalism. Cosmopolitanism is the idea that human beings are essentially one, and they are not citizens of Sinope like Diogenes, or even Greeks, but citizens of planet Earth. This intellectual development was a clear manifestation that at least one *Homo sapiens neandertalensis* had lost his political particularism (a bit like canine territoriality), and had embraced the idea of political universalism, a quantum leap that shows that at least some *Homo sapiens neandertalensis* were already a new subspecies called *Homo sapiens sapiens*.

Very recently another quantum leap has occurred. There are signs that at least one *Homo sapiens sapiens* is already a new subspecies called *Homo sapiens ethicus*. This occurred when a single human being, when asked where he came from, replied, “I am a citizen of Cyberterra”. This is an extremely original concept, because the broadest basis of social identity in America can be found either in Americans as a group, in hyphenated-Americans, or in the ‘citizen of the world’ concept first promoted by Diogenes. [[Cyberterra](#) is little more than a mathematical point in the middle of the Adriatic Sea, which occupies no real space. The City of Cyberterra is the world’s first authentic *cybernetic realm* or *κυβερνήτης* (kyvernítis).]

This New Man who has come into being is not a New Yorker, an American, an Italian-American, and he is not a ‘citizen of the world’ either. No, this New Man, to use Modern Greek, is a *κυβερπολίτης* (kyverpolítis) or ‘cybercitizen’, and if we go to the original meaning of the English root word ‘cyber’, this actually means that he is a *πολιτικό κυβερνήτης* (politikó kyvernítis) or ‘political master’.

This New Man is not a citizen of some place he was accidentally born to, the citizen of some place he moved to, or the citizen of some planetary community he feels connected with, but a master, governor, or steersman of his citizenry or entourage.

According to consciousness researcher John White, the next step in human evolution is the *Homo noeticus*. Is the *Homo sapiens ethicus* I mention, and John White’s *Homo noeticus* the same thing?

You be the judge. I state that *Homo sapiens ethicus* is a new *phenotype*, not a new *genotype*. According to John White, *Homo noeticus* is characterised by *noetic* changes, not by genetic changes. A *phenotype* is any observable characteristic or trait of an organism, such as its morphology, development, biochemical or physiological properties, and includes behaviour, and the tangible outcomes of specific behaviours. White’s *noetic* changes, on the other hand, are

based on a radical transformation of consciousness, and consciousness is anything that we are aware of at a given moment. So basically White and I are talking about the same thing, except I'm viewing the difference in the New Man from the *outside*, ie exoterically, while White is viewing the difference from the *inside*, ie esoterically.

I state that *Homo sapiens ethicus* is different because he no longer identifies with people residing in his same location, or even with people who more broadly think of themselves as Earthlings, but with something *outside* of himself, yet still connected to him — in the case of the citizen of Cyberterra this is, in effect, an abstract point in the middle of the Adriatic Sea. White, on the other hand, states that *Homo noeticus* is characterised by a radical transformation of consciousness, a movement from self-centredness to *God-centredness* — *God-centredness*, in reality, is consciousness of that which is both *outside* and within oneself, since a portion of the external God is correctly perceived as being within oneself (a *holistic*, rather than the typically religious atomistic perspective).

I don't even state that *Homo sapiens ethicus* is a more ethical subspecies of the genus *Homo*, since that trait is implied by the very name of the subspecies *Homo sapiens ethicus*. White, on the other hand, states that *Homo noeticus* recognises the presence of the Divine in everyone (*holism*), not just within him- or herself. He states that *Homo noeticus* doesn't operate on the basis of competitiveness, but on the basis of cooperation. According to White, from an emotional standpoint you will not find hatred, vice, or any of the non-virtuous feelings in *Homo noeticus*. *Homo noeticus* shall eventually become the dominant life form on the planet, even though he/she lacks the spirit of belligerence, oppression, competitiveness, acquisitiveness, greed, and all the other vices that have pretty much defined the genus *Homo* right up until today.

References

1. Tallini, HMRD C. (2010). The Indigo race and the *Homo sapiens ethicus* subspecies. Retrieved 15 January 2011, from <http://5world.net/i-indigo.html>.
2. Indigo race. (2009). Fifth World Wiki. Retrieved 15 January 2011, from http://fifthworld.wikia.com/wiki/Indigo_race.
3. Hesman Saey, T. (2010, 5 June). Neandertal genome yields evidence of interbreeding with humans. *ScienceNews*, 177(12), 5. Retrieved 15 January 2011, from http://www.sciencenews.org/view/generic/id/58936/title/Neandertal_genome_yields_evidence_of_interbreeding_with_humans.
4. Hamilton, C. (2001). Toward *Homo Noeticus*: An interview with John White. *EnlightenNext magazine* (19). Retrieved 15 January 2011, from <http://www.enlightennext.org/magazine/j19/white.asp>.

All Rights Reserved *Sui Juris* — Tutti i diritti riservati *sui iuris* — Tote le derectos reservate *sui juris*

Print Monopoly (PM) 2011 HMRD Cesidio Tallini (0050001)